

## Electromagnetic radiation including G5 frequencies, health effects summary and policy recommendations

## Stein Y.1,\*

1 Physician and researcher of health effects of electromagnetic radiation. Affiliation: Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Hebrew University – Hadassah Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel

\*corresponding author: e-mail: yael.stein1@mail.huji.ac.il

## **Extended Abstract**

5G millimeter wave technology offers advantages: "smart" homes, "smart" cities and autonomic automobiles. The price: a rise of 30-100% in exposure to electromagnetic radiation near citizens' homes and higher exposure levels inside homes. Scientists have declared current exposure levels not relevant to protect against health effects. Pregnant women, babies and children should be protected from chronic exposure of public source in the private home against their will. Electrohypersensitive individuals would be forced to leave their homes and become refugees. In Brussels as well as other cities, 5G has been halted due to health concerns.

**Background:** Reportedly, exposure to electromagnetic radiation (EMR) in frequencies above 1 Gigahertz has risen 10<sup>18</sup> times background environmental levels.<sup>4</sup> It is the largest and fastest growing anthropomorphic environmental pollutant, affecting billions. Allowable exposure levels from 1996 rely on physics theory that low-level nonionizing EMR can cause nothing but thermal effects. <sup>5</sup> But thousands of studies demonstrate biological effects on cells and tissues exposed to low non-thermal levels of EMR.<sup>6</sup> Studies from the 1950-60s in radar technicians in former USSR reported health effects: headache, hematologic problems, fertility problems, decline in libido and neural effects - named "Microwave Sickness". 7 EMR has been categorized as a possible human carcinogen by IARC. 8,9 Data trends indicate a rise the incidence of brain cancer even though researchers are still in disagreement. <sup>10,11</sup> Economic interests might affect some of the studies and their conclusions. Recent studies in humans demonstrate: acute exposure to non-thermal levels of EMR affects brain metabolism, <sup>12</sup> brain electric function <sup>14</sup> and the immune system. <sup>13</sup> Studies have shown effects of chronic exposure to EMR on DNA by enhancement of oxidative stress response<sup>15,16</sup> and effects in nerve tissue, on myelin.<sup>17</sup> Two recently published large animal studies performed by the US- National Toxicology Program 18 and by the Ramazzini institute reported statistically significant rise in tumors in animals exposed chronically to non-thermal levels of EMR.1 Studies on G5 millimeter wave frequencies: Experiments conducted between 1970-75 in former USSR on animals exposed to non-thermal levels of millimeter waves for only 15 minutes a day

demonstrated detectable changes in both the central and peripheral nervous system, changes in permeability of blood vessels, changes in the reflex response of the nervous system (stronger response from a lower trigger) and changes in the hematopoietic and lymphatic systems. Studies from the Hebrew University in Jerusalem and from Japan demonstrate that human sweat ducts respond to millimeter waves and concentrate the energy inside the skin, despite the belief that G5 frequencies cannot penetrate the skin. 11, 22, 23

Policy recommendations: Safer alternatives exist: broad band internet via fiber-optic infrastructure, and wired "smart" meters. "More antennas – less radiation" is not true because an extreme rise in usage is predicted, therefore exposure will certainly rise, not fall. The decision to authorize 5G frequencies by the US FCC 24 relies only on thermal 1996 guidelines and did not take non-thermal biological effects or public health precautionary measures into account. 25 Extensive public exposure keeping with thermal allowable levels is expected to have adverse health effects and is therefore unsafe. The public have a basic human right to be safe inside their homes from public source exposure. An individual "opt-out" option must be made available. Allowable exposure levels should be lowered according to biologic effects.<sup>26</sup> The public should be warned about adverse effects of G5 frequencies, and unbiased researched should be funded by government agencies or dedicated trust funds.

## References

- EMF Scientist International Appeal. Scientists call for Protection from Non-ionizing Electromagnetic Field Exposure. May 11, 2015, updated June 15, 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.emfscientist.org/index.php/emf-scientist-appeal">https://www.emfscientist.org/index.php/emf-scientist-appeal</a>
- 2. Stein Y. Environmental Refugees, Electrohypersensitive individuals (EHS) in the digital world a disabled population deprived of home, work and basic rights. Presented at UNESCO chair in Bioethics 10<sup>th</sup> world conference, Jan 6-8, 2015. Available at: <a href="https://www.slideshare.net/YaelStein1/ehs-human-rights">https://www.slideshare.net/YaelStein1/ehs-human-rights</a>
- 3. Josh del Sol. Brussels Becomes First Major City to Halt 5G Due to Health Effects. Global Research, 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.globalresearch.ca/brussels-first-major-city-halt-5g-due-health-effects/5673606">https://www.globalresearch.ca/brussels-first-major-city-halt-5g-due-health-effects/5673606</a>
- 4. Bandara P, Carpenter DO. Planetary electromagnetic pollution: it is time to assess its impact. Lancet. Planetary Health, 2018, 2(12) DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30221-3
- International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection. ICNIRP guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz). Health Phys 1998; 74: 494–522
- Bioinitiative updated report 2014-2019. Available at: https://bioinitiative.org/conclusions/
- 7. Glaser, ZR. Bibliography of reported biological phenomena ('effects') and clinical manifestations attributed to microwave and radio-frequency radiation. Naval Medical Research Institute MF12.54.015-004B. 2, Report No. revised. 1972. 106 Available at: pp. https://magdahavas.com/pick-of-the-week-1-morethan-2000-documents-prior-to-1972-on-bioeffects-ofradio-frequency-radiation/
- 8. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Volume 80.

  Non-Ionizing Radiation, Part 1: Static and Extremely Low-Frequency (ELF) Electric and Magnetic Fields. 2002. Available at: <a href="https://monographs.iarc.fr/iarc-monographs-on-the-evaluation-of-carcinogenic-risks-to-humans-41/">https://monographs.iarc.fr/iarc-monographs-on-the-evaluation-of-carcinogenic-risks-to-humans-41/</a>
- 9. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Volume 102. Non-Ionizing Radiation, Part 2: Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. 2013. Available at: <a href="https://monographs.iarc.fr/iarc-monographs-on-the-evaluation-of-carcinogenic-risks-to-humans-14/">https://monographs.iarc.fr/iarc-monographs-on-the-evaluation-of-carcinogenic-risks-to-humans-14/</a>
- Carlberg M, Hardell L. Evaluation of Mobile Phone and Cordless Phone Use and Glioma Risk Using the Bradford Hill Viewpoints from 1965 on Association or Causation. Biomed Res Int. 2017;2017:9218486. doi: 10.1155/2017/9218486
- Yang M, Guo WW, Yang CS, Tang JQ, Huang Q, Feng SX, Jiang AJ, Xu XF, Jiang G. Mobile phone use and glioma risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2017; 12(5): e0175136. Published online 2017 May 4. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0175136
- 12. Volkow ND, Tomasi D, Wang GJ, et al. Effects of cell phone radiofrequency signal exposure on brain glucose metabolism. JAMA 2011; 305: 808–13
- 13. Schmid MR, Loughran SP, Regel SJ, et al. Sleep EEG alterations: effects of different pulse-modulated radio

- frequency electromagnetic fields. J Sleep Res 2012; 21:50-58
- Kimata H. Microwave radiation from cellular phones increases allergen-specific IgE production. Allergy 2005; 60: 838–39
- Zothansiama, Zosangzuali M, Lalramdinpuii M, Jagetia GC. Impact of radiofrequency radiation on DNA damage and antioxidants in peripheral blood lymphocytes of humans residing in the vicinity of mobile phone base stations. Electromagn Biol Med 2017;36: 295–305
- 16. Bandara P, Weller S. Biological effects of lowintensity radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation time for a paradigm shift in regulation of public exposure. Radiat Protect Australas 2017; 34: 2–6
- 17. Kim JH, Yu DH, Huh TH, Lee EH, Kim HG, Kim HR. Long-term exposure to 835 MHz RF-EMF induces hyperactivity, autophagy and demyelination in the cortical neurons of mice. Sci Rep. 2017; 7: 41129. doi: 10.1038/srep41129 Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5247 706/
- 18. Cell phone radio frequency radiation. National Toxicology Program, US Department of Health and Human Services, 2018. Available at: <a href="https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/areas/cellphones/index.html">https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/results/areas/cellphones/index.html</a>
- 19. Falcioni L, Bua L, Tibaldi E, et al. Report of final results regarding brain and heart tumors in Sprague-Dawley rats exposed from prenatal life until natural death to mobile phone radiofrequency field representative of a 1.8GHz GSM base station environmental emission. Environ Res 2018; 165: 496– 503
- Translations on USSR science and technology biomedical sciences (GUO 28/77) Effects of nonionizing electromagnetic radiation. JPRS/ L7298. U.S. joint publication research service. Government use only. 3 August 1977. Declassified and approved for release 10.5.2012
- Feldman Y1, Puzenko A, Ben Ishai P, Caduff A, Davidovich I, Sakran F, Agranat AJ.
   The electromagnetic response of human skin in the millimetre and submillimetre wave range. Phys Med Biol. 2009. 7;54(11):3341-63. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/54/11/005
- 22. Betzalel N, Ben Ishai P, Feldman F. The human skin as a sub-THz receiver Does 5G pose a danger to it or not? Environmental Research, 2018; 163:208-216
- Tripathi SR, Miyata E, Ishai PB, Kawase K. Morphology of human sweat ducts observed by optical coherence tomography and their frequency of resonance in the terahertz frequency region. Sci Rep. 2015;5:9071. doi: 10.1038/srep09071
- 24. Federal Communications Commission (FCC). Radio frequency safety. Available at: <a href="https://www.fcc.gov/general/radio-frequency-safety-0">https://www.fcc.gov/general/radio-frequency-safety-0</a>
- 25. Environmental Health Trust. 5G And The IOT: Scientific Overview Of Human Health Risks. Available at: <a href="https://ehtrust.org/key-issues/cell-phoneswireless/5g-networks-iot-scientific-overview-human-health-risks/">https://ehtrust.org/key-issues/cell-phoneswireless/5g-networks-iot-scientific-overview-human-health-risks/</a>
- 26. Powell RM. Biological Effects from RF Radiation at Low5Intensity Exposure, based on the BioInitiative 2012 Report, and the Implications for Smart Meters and Smart Appliances. emfsafetynetwork.org. 2013. Available at: <a href="http://emfsafetynetwork.org/wpcontent/uploads/2013/08/Biological-Effects-From-RF-Radiation-and-Implications-for-Smart-Meters-June-5-2013-2.pdf">http://emfsafetynetwork.org/wpcontent/uploads/2013/08/Biological-Effects-From-RF-Radiation-and-Implications-for-Smart-Meters-June-5-2013-2.pdf</a>